1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	REYNALDO AGAVO,	\
11	Petitioner,	() 2:13-cv-01741-JCM-CWH
12	V.	ORDER
13	DWIGHT NEVEN, et al.) ORDER
14	Respondents.	,
15		}
16	On September 23, 2015, this court granted petitioner's motion for a stay and abeyance	
17	and administratively closed the matter pending petitioner's exhaustion of his remedies in state	
18	court. On July 28, 2017, petitioner moved to reopen on the grounds that the Nevada Supreme	
19	Court had on June 13, 2017 issued remittitur on his appeal of the denial of his post-conviction	
20	petition. (ECF No. 45). Good cause appearing, this action will be reopened, and a further	
21	briefing schedule will be set.	
22	Petitioner has also filed a motion for leave to file a second amended petition. (ECF No.	
23	47). No opposition to the petitioner has been filed, and the time for doing so has expired.	
24	Absent prejudice to the opposing party, bad faith, dilatory motive, futility, or undue	
25	delay, leave to amend "shall be freely given when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a);	
26	United States ex. rel. Lee v. SmithKline Beecham, Inc., 245 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2001).	
27	Petitioner seeks to amend his petition to incorporate his most recent state court proceedings	
28	and amend Ground 7 to include legal arguments that were made to the state court during	
	those proceedings. The court concludes that none of the factors the court must consider	

weighs against allowing leave to amend the petition in this instance. Accordingly, the motion for leave to file a second amended petition will be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion to reopen this action (ECF No. 45) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as the stay is lifted by this order, the Clerk shall REOPEN THE FILE in this action.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for leave to file a second amended petition (ECF No. 47) is GRANTED. Petitioner shall file his second amended petition within ten days of the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have sixty (60) days following service of the second amended petition within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the second amended petition.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner shall have sixty days following service of the answer to file and serve a reply brief. If a dispositive motion is filed, the parties shall brief the motion in accordance with Local Rule 7-2.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents to the counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents shall

specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record and related exhibits filed herein by either petitioner or respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. If the exhibits filed will span more than one ECF Number in the record, the first document under each successive ECF Number shall be either another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other document serving as a filler, so that each exhibit under the ECF Number thereafter will be listed under an attachment number (i.e., Attachment 1, 2, etc.).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hard copy of any exhibits filed by either counsel shall be delivered – for this case – to the Reno Clerk's Office, 400 S. Virginia St., Reno, NV, 89501, and directed to the attention of "Staff Attorney" on the outside of the mailing address label.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 23, 2018.

JAMES C. MAHAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

us C. Mahan